CITY PLANNING COMMISSION
Austin, Texas
Regular Meeting -- May 1, 1979

The regular meeting of the City Planning Commission was called to order at 5:45~p.m. in the City Council Chambers, 301~West Second Street.

Present

Miguel Guerrero, Chairman Leo Danze Mary Ethel Schechter Sally Shipman Bernard Snyder Bill Stoll Jim Vier

Also Present

Tom Knickerbocker, Assistant Director of Planning Evelyn Butler, Supervising Planner Betty Baker, Planner Ouida Glass, Senior Secretary

Absent

Freddie Dixon Sid Jagger

ZONING

Staff Recommendation: The following cases were heard on a consent motion:

Bradfield, Cummins & Shepherd C14-79-068 (by Bryant-Currington, Inc.)

9241-9269 Research Blvd.

From: Interim "A", 1st H&A To: "D", 2nd H&A

To DENY DL, 2nd H&A but to RECOMMEND DL. 1st H&A on the southernmost 100 feet fronting the access road and DL, 2nd H&A

on the remainder.

C14-79-075 Edwayne Preistmeyer & Jerry Holley

(by G.W. Thompson) 722 Morrow Street

From: "C", 1st H&A To: "C-1", 1st H&A

RECOMMENDED

Jonas Silberstein and Howard & Lois Okon C14-79-077

(by Charles Marsh) 1213 Parkway

From: "A", 1st H&A To: "0", 1st H&A

RECOMMENDED

C14-79-084 City of Austin Planning Department

3594-3616 Duval Road

From: Interim "AA", 1st H&A

To: "AA", 1st H&A

RECOMMENDED

On a consent motion by Mrs. Schechter, seconded by Mr. Stoll, the Planning Commission approved the above in accordance with staff recommendations.

AYE:

Danze, Guerrero, Schechter, Shipman, Snyder, Stoll and Vier.

ABSENT: Dixon and Jagger.

THE CONSENT MOTION PASSED BY A VOTE OF 7-0.

"A", 1st H&A to "O", 1st H&A C14-79-067 Everett R. Moore: 5212 Huisache

Betty Baker discussed the area and the land uses. The staff has recommended to deny "O" Office since there is no precedent for "O" Office zoning on this residential street.

CITIZEN COMMUNICATION

PERSONS APPEARING IN FAVOR Everett Moore, applicant

PERSONS APPEARING IN OPPOSITION Gerald Kirkpatrick

WRITTEN COMMENTS IN FAVOR Edith Thompson, 2500 Yosemite

WRITTEN COMMENTS IN OPPOSITION - None

C14-79-067 Everett R. Moore--continued

CCMMISSION ACTION

350

Mr. Moore felt he could upgrade the neighborhood by changing the use of this property to office use. He stated that it is now rental property and discussed some of the problems with keeping it rented. Mrs. Schechter asked if he would be willing to offer a restrictive covenant that there would be no access allowed on to Huisache and the applicant declined. Speaking in opposition, Gerald Kirkpatrick discussed the neighborhood and the land uses. He stated this is a nice house that has been abused but requested that the zoning remain "A". Any change in zoning would set a precedent and would create problems for adjoining property owners as well as the neighborhood as a whole.

COMMISSION VOTE

Mrs. Shipman moved to support the staff recommendation and to deny the request for "O" Office, 1st H&A. Mr. Stoll seconded the motion.

AYE:

Danze, Guerrero, Schechter, Shipman, Snyder, Stoll and Vier.

ABSENT:

Dixon and Jagger.

THE MOTION PASSED BY A VOTE OF 7-0.

C14-79-069 Liselotte L. Gambill: Interim "A", 1st H&A to "GR", 1st H&A (by William Brooks)
2022-2026 Ben White Blvd.
2023-2027 Ivy Trail

Betty Baker discussed the area and the land uses. The staff has recommended denial of the "GR" General Retail zoning and recommends "A" Residence, 1st H&A, as this property fronts on a dead end residential street and the traffic volumn generated by a more intense use could not be carried on this street.

CITIZEN COMMUNICATION

PERSONS APPEARING IN FAVOR
Lisa Gambill

PERSONS APPEARING IN OPPOSITION
Esther Feuge, 2102 Ivy Trail
Pearl Hancock, 2104 Ivy Trail
Charlie M. and Ruth Holt, 2028 Ivy Trail
Bonnie K. Feuge, 2102 Ivy Trail
Gail Clingingsmith, 800 Glen Oak
Walter G. Mendez, 2100 Ivy Trail

WRITTEN COMMENTS IN FAVOR - None

WRITTEN COMMENTS IN OPPOSITION
Petition - 19 signatures
Mr. and Mrs. Charles S. Bales, 2105 Fortview Road

C14-79-069 Liselotte L. Gambill--continued

COMMISSION ACTION

Lisa Gambill asked for a temporary postponement. She explained she had contacted all owners of the houses on the Ben White side of Ivy Trail and they all would like to join in a joint change. It was determined to hold the hearing since the request for postponement had just been made. There was discussion of the noise in the area, as well as the traffic. Lisa Gambill explained that she proposed to close off Ivy Trail and to use this property for an arts and crafts shop, stated that she would be happy with "O" if she could not get the "GR". There was discussion of the type of operation proposed and the requirements that would be imposed by the Building Inspection Department. Chet Altus requested that when a dead end street is involved, that persons on that dead end street be notified and stated there is a minor emergency clinic at the end of this dead end street and he would like to see Ben White Boulevard utilized with a buffer of trees and bushes and that Ivy Trail be closed. He felt Ben White should be zoned commercial. Speaking in opposition, Ester Feuge presented a petition containing signatures of 19 persons in opposition and stated this would increase property values. There was discussion of the noise as well as traffic problems and she stated this street cannot handle any commercial traffic. If the request should be granted, she requested a privacy fence with no openings on to Ivy Trail and no garbage containers. She pointed out that most of these people are on fixed incomes and cannot stand increased property values or taxes and would request the zoning be denied. Gayle Clingingsmith stated these people have been fighting this situation for a number of years. These homes are important to these people. She expressed concern for the quality of the neighborhood and pointed out that this would be the beginning of a series of changes which would drastically alter the character of the neighborhood. Mrs. Shipman stated this is a residential area. It is very well buffered. It is a unique area and does not have to go automatically commercial. Charles Holt stated he is against this zoning request and pointed out that if this zoning request is approved, it would create a domino affect. He stated this is a good residential area that a workingman can afford to live in. He discussed the noise and traffic, but also discussed the buffering and stated they are used to it.

COMMISSION ACTION

Mr. Danze moved to deny the zoning request and to grant "A" Residence, 1st H&A. Mrs. Shipman seconded the motion.

AYE: Danze, Guerrero, Schechter, Shipman, Snyder, Stoll and Vier. ABSENT: Dixon and Jagger.

C14-79-072 V & M Investments: "B", 1st H&A to "O", 1st H&A (by Richard G. Hardin)
706 and 706½ and a portion of
708 West 23rd Street

Betty Baker discussed the surrounding area and stated that the staff is recommending "O", Office, 1st H&A as requested be granted to accommodate overflow parking from the apartment project across the street.

PERSONS APPEARING IN FAVOR
Richard Hardin
Steven Deutchman
Betty Phillips - Concerns

PERSONS APPEARING IN OPPOSITION
Cherie Deutchman, 2208 Pearl Street

COMMISSION ACTION

Richard Hardin, representing the applicant, requested the zoning change on two parcels in order to provide parking for a large 100-unit apartment complex going in across the street. He stated there is adequate parking in accordance with the City code, but they wish to provide additional spaces, pointing out that parking is a problem in the area and this is an attempt to solve it. Mrs. Schechter asked if applicant would be willing to offer a restrictive covenant for parking only and if there is any other use than parking, that it come back to the Planning Commission for a change. Mr. Hardin declined to agree, stating he did not feel a restrictive covenant is appropriate. Steven Deutchman discussed the parking problem in the University area and felt this would help the problem. He also did not see a need for the restrictive covenant and expressed favor with the change of zoning as proposed. Betty Phillips, representing the Save University Neighborhood Association, expressed concerns. She felt this to be a doomed parcel and that office use would be highest and best use for the tract. She presented a document containing 153 signatures in opposition to the LR zoning. She was concerned that this could be a long-term speculative zoning change and questioned the uses that could be obtained with a special permit if the zoning were changed. She did not object to the "O", but requested to go on record or have a restrictive covenant or statment that this zoning change does not include the right to apply for "LR" with a special permit. She felt that the long-term and least damaging would be some sort of office use but would like guarantee that they will not be applying for more intensive uses. Mrs. Shipman expressed concern for more intensive uses and stated this area is overzoned, that office use and parking would be an asset to the neighborhood.

COMMISSION VOTE

Mrs. Shipman moved to grant "0" Office, 1st H&A, but the record to reflect that the Planning Commission is concerned that any land use more intensive than "0" for this particular site would be not in the best interest of the community. Mr. Stoll seconded the motion.

AYE: Danze, Guerrero, Schechter, Shipman, Snyder, Stoll and Vier. ABSENT: Dixon and Jagger.

C14-79-076 Walter Eskew: Interim "AA", 1st H&A to "LR", 1st H&A (by Tom Carlson)
7608-7612 Brodie Lane

Betty Baker presented the preliminary subdivision of this tract and discussed the previous zoning patterns in the area. She explained that the staff recommends denial of the LR, 1st H&A and recommends "A", 1st H&A. This recommendation reflects past zoning actions by the City Council and discourages strip zoning.

PERSONS APPEARING IN FAVOR
Tom Carlson, representing applicant

PERSONS APPEARING IN OPPOSITION - None

COMMISSION ACTION

Tom Carlson, representing applicant, presented a site plan and discussed the proposed development for the area. He explained this site will be for a convenience store in the planned subdivision and that the owner is willing to submit a site plan. He emphasized that this was planned with the entire subdivision, the site has a particular use, and felt the community needs it.

COMMISSION VOTE

Mr. Snyder moved to grant "LR" Local Retail, 1st H&A, subject to the site plan as submitted. Mr. Stoll seconded the motion.

AYE: Danze, Guerrero, Schechter, Shipman, Snyder, and Stoll.

ABSENT: Dixon and Jagger.

ABSTAINED: Vier.

THE MOTION PASSED BY A VOTE OF 6-0-1.

C14-79-078 Mrs. Sue I. Reasoner: "C" and "A", 1st H&A to "C" and "O", (by Elaine Clarie Brooks) 1st H&A 2406 Bluebonnet

Betty Baker discussed the area and the land uses. Applicant has requested "C" Commercial and "O" Office in order to have an "O" Office buffer to the property to the north. The staff is recommending to deny "C" Commercial, 1st H&A and "O" Office, 1st H&A, but to grant "O" Office, 1st H&A with a five-foot strip of "A" Residential and fence on the north and west boundaries of this tract to buffer the existing residential uses.

CITIZEN COMMUNICATION

PERSONS APPEARING IN FAVOR
Elaine Brooks, representing owner

PERSONS APPEARING IN OPPOSITION - None

C14-79-078 Mrs. Sue I. Reasoner--continued

WRITTEN COMMENTS IN FAVOR - None

WRITTEN COMMENTS IN OPPOSITION B.C. Ahrens, 2405 Ann Arbor

COMMISSION ACTION

Elaine Brooks, representing the owner, explained there is a contract to sell pending contingent on getting the "C" zoning. The buyer would like to put in a small office building and warehouses. She stated the two adjoining owners have expressed no opposition to the zoning change and felt that the "O" buffer would protect the single-family residences. Mrs. Shipman expressed concern for the impact this would have on the entire neighborhood which is clearly defined as residential and this would be incompatible. She felt the zoning should remain as it is; that this would set a most unfortunate precedent.

COMMISSION VOTE

Mrs. Shipman moved to deny the zoning change. Mrs. Schechter seconded the motion. There was discussion of the site and applicant felt that no one would build adjacent to the motel and felt that this would be a great improvement over what is there now. Mr. Snyder offered a substitute motion to deny "C" Commercial, 1st H&A and "O" Office, 1st H&A, but to grant "O" Office, 1st H&A with a five-foot strip of "A" Residential and fence on the north and west boundaries of this tract to buffer the existing residential uses subject to the site plan being approved by the Planning Commission as had been agreed to by the applicant. Mr. Vier seconded the substitute motion.

AYE:

Danze, Guerrero, Schechter, Shipman, Snyder, and Vier.

NAY:

Stoll.

ABSENT:

Dixon and Jagger.

THE MOTION PASSED BY A VOTE OF 6-1.

C14-79-080 Odas Jung: Interim "A", 1st H&A to "GR", 1st H&A

(by Tom Curtis)
6409-6725 Congress Avenue
100 Block East William Cannon Drive
6408-6710 Circle S Road

Betty Baker discussed the area and the land uses. The staff would recommend denial of "GR" General Retail, 1st H&A, but would recommend "GR", General Retail, 1st H&A for the tract to the south of William Cannon Drive; "GR" General Retail, 1st H&A for a depth of approximately 412 feet for the property north of William Cannon Drive; and "O" Office, 1st H&A for the remainder of the subject tract. She explained that the applicant should be instructed to check with the Urban Transportation as to curb cut limitations on Congress Avenue and limited access to Circle S.

C14-79-080 Odas Jung--continued

PERSONS APPEARING IN FAVOR

Tom Curtis, attorney representing applicant

PERSONS APPEARING IN OPPOSITION - None

COMMISSION ACTION

Tom Curtis, attorney representing applicant, stated he was in agreement with the staff recommendations except for the northernmost portion. He discussed the proximity to the school and pointed out that this is separated from the school by distance, as well as by a chain link fence. He stated that the A.I.S.D. has never opposed LR where it is proposed on one side of a school and felt it reasonable to give what is already across the street. This will be developed as retail uses in a center and would be easier to control the curb cuts and driveways. Mrs. Shipman felt the application had merit, but had concern for the traffic around the school.

COMMISSION VOTE

Mrs. Shipman discussed the applicant having amended his application to "GR" up to the GR line on the west and LR for the balance of the tract to the north, with GR. Tract 2, and moved to grant the zoning as requested by the applicant's ammended request for GR-LR. She requested that the City Council attach a letter from the Council to the Urban Transportation Department to very carefully study the curb cuts and traffic flow that would be generated from this land use in regard to the safety of the children at Pleasant Hill Elementary School. Mr. Snyder seconded the motion.

AYE: Danze, Guerrero, Schechter, Shipman, Snyder, Stoll and Vier. ABSENT: Dixon and Jagger.

THE MOTION PASSED BY A VOTE OF 7-0.

C14-79-081 Odas Jung: "GR" and "C" (with restrictive covenant), 1st H&A

(by Tom Curtis) to "C", 1st H&A

813-1017 Banister Lane
816-1024 West Ben White Blvd.

On a consent motion by Mr. Snyder, seconded by Mr. Stoll, the Commission denied "C" Commercial, 1st H&A, and requested that a new restrictive covenant for Lots 7 through 13 be applied for a continuance of the present mobile home sales use and converting back to "GR" General Retail at the end of that use or five years, whichever is sooner.

AYE: Danze, Guerrero, Schechter, Shipman, Snyder, Stoll and Vier. ABSENT: Dixon and Jagger.

THE CONSENT MOTION PASSED BY A VOTE OF 7-0.

C14-79-082 Frankie R. Melder: "A", 1st H&A to "O", 1st H&A (by Tom Curtis)
1107 Edgewood Avenue

Betty Baker discussed the area and the land uses. The staff is recommending denial of the "O" Office, 1st H&A, but recommends "O" Office with a five-foot strip of "A" Residential at the north portion of the lot fronting Edgewood, therefore, the applicant would have to provide access to the property from IH-35 access.

CITIZEN COMMUNICATION

PERSONS APPEARING IN FAVOR

Tom Curtis, attorney representing applicant

PERSONS APPEARING IN OPPOSITION

Jim Christianson - Concerns

WRITTEN COMMENTS IN FAVOR
Oscar Menn, 3307 Robinson Avenue
Petition with 21 signatures - In Favor or Not Opposing

WRITTEN COMMENTS IN OPPOSITION
Mrs. Florine Rogers, 3211 Hollywood
Mrs. Mary E. Teeke, 3214 Dancy
George Majewski, 3300 Hollywood

COMMISSION ACTION

Tom Curtis, representing applicant, expressed agreement with the recommendations of the staff. He stated the applicant agrees to limiting the access to IH-35 in order that there will be no access off Edgewood, as well as no parking on Edgewood. This will be used as office property. He presented a petition showing 75 percent of the persons living in the immediate area in favor or not opposing the request. Jim Christianson expressed concern for what the "O" zoning would allow. He agreed that the use would enhance the neighborhood but questioned what would happen if the business failed and requested a restrictive covenant that should the business fail, the property would revert back to the original zoning.

COMMISSTION VOTE

Mr. Stoll moved to approve staff recommendations, to deny "0" Office, 1st H&A, but to grant "0" Office, 1st H&A with a five-foot strip of "A" Residential at the north portion of the lot fronting Edgewood. Mr. Vier seconded the motion.

AYE: Danze, Guerrero, Schechter, Shipman, Snyder, Stoll and Vier. ABSENT: Dixon and Jagger.

9

C14-79-085 "B", 2nd H&A to "O", 2nd H&A (as amended) Sol Smith: (by Dave Brunovsky) 505-509 West Lynn 1509-1511 West Sixth Street

508 Powell

Betty Baker discussed the surrounding area and the land uses. The staff would recommend denial of the "LR" Local Retail, 2nd H&A and recommends "O" Office, 2nd H&A, subject to six feet of right-of-way for the property fronting on Powell Street and the right-of-way necessary that is being determined on West Lynn.

PERSONS APPEARING IN FAVOR Al Nutter, architect for the project

COMMISSION ACTION

Al Nutter, architect and partner of the project, discussed their proposed plans and the need for "O", 2nd H&A. They objected to the 25-foot setback from the street and explained they could maintain the character of the neighborhood with the "O", 2nd and would have more area to use on the site for parking. He explained this is not a problem with height, it is a setback problem. Mrs. Shipman asked if he would be willing to offer a restrictive covenant to limit the height to 35 feet and the applicant so agreed.

COMMISSION VOTE

Mrs. Shipman moved to accept the restrictive covenant as volunteered by the applicant to limit all structures to a height no greater than 35 feet and to grant "O" Office, 2nd H&A. Mrs. Schechter seconded the motion.

Danze, Guerrero, Schechter, Shipman, Snyder, and Stoll. ABSENT: Dixon and Jagger.

OUT OF THE ROOM: Vier.

May 1, 1979

HISTORIC ZONING

C14h-77-011 Judge Sebron Sneed Home: Interim "A", 1st H&A to
1703 North Bluff Drive Interim "A-H", 1st H&A
(By Mrs. E.C. Chamness)

Betty Baker presented the staff report and showed slides of the structure, stating that the house was built in 1854 and has 12 rooms. The third floor was built for a ballroom and storage and the architect was Abner Cooke. This is the third structure in approximately 200 which have been considered which meets all 13 criteria for historic zoning. She explained the structure is on a tract of land consisting of approximately 97 acres and the area to be zoned is approximately 50 feet around the house and 900 square feet for the cemetery, or approximately one-half acre.

PERSONS APPEARING IN FAVOR
Odas Jung
Jack Cashin
Mrs. Odas Jung
Ina Ray Smith
Margaret Cashin

PERSONS APPEARING IN OPPOSITION
William Karcher

COMMISSION ACTION

Mrs. Shipman asked about the suit to determine legal ownership and it was explained that the legal ownership has now been determined. Karcher is the owner and has a clear title. Odas Jung stated he was familar with the place and felt it is not too much to ask to preserve a half acre of pure history out of a tract of approximately 97 acres. He pointed out that it has architectural value that can never be reproduced in any way. He felt it should be preserved. Jack Cashin, speaking in favor of the request, explained that his wife is a descendant of Judge Sneed. He felt that regardless of the onwership, the structure is a historic house. It is a unique house and recommended that historic zoning be granted. Mrs. Odas Jung stated her great uncle owned this home for many years and she would like to see the structure kept and renovated. She explained that the cemetery also is very old and she would hate to see any of it destroyed. Margaret Cashin spoke for many members of their family, all of whom would like to see the structure zoned historic. Speaking in opposition, William Karcher stated he did have a clear title and was now the absolute owner of the property. He requested a postponement or continuation for 120 days in order to retain legal counsel and to prepare a detailed presentation. He was not in objection to historic zoning as such, but wanted more time before a decision is reached on this structure. Mr. Vier asked him about his present plans for the house and Mr. Karcher stated it would take time to see what could be done and he pointed out that the structure needed much work. Mrs. Shipman replied that the structure meets all 13 of the criteria for historic zoning. Mr. Snyder asked Mr. Karcher if he was aware of his legal rights. There was discussion of the legal rights of the owner, also discussion of whether or not the request should be postponed. Mr. Karcher stated that he would oppose the historic zoning if the case is not postponed.

11

C14h-77-011 Judge Sebron Sneed Home -- continued

COMMISSION ACTION

Mr. Snyder moved to continue the request for 30 days. Mr. Vier seconded the motion. Mr. Guerrero stated he did not see anything changing in the next 30 days, but felt the applicant should have an opportunity to work with legal counsel. Mr. Stoll stated he did not feel there is any lack of information; the owner now owns a structure that is zoned historic and offered a substitute motion to recommend to the City Council that the structure be zoned historic as a result of the finding of fact of Items A through M as recommended by the Historic Landmark Commission. Mrs. Schechter seconded the substitute motion. Mrs. Shipman offered a friendly amendment that the structure area also be zoned permanent A. There was discussion of the change to permanent zoning, as well as the notification procedure. Mr. Stoll then withdrew his substitute motion. Mrs. Shipman expressed concern for the interim zoning, but did feel the historic status to be appropriate and recommended further that the site be permanently zoned with all due speed.

Mrs. Shipman then offered a substitute motion that the Planning Commission uphold the findings of fact of the Historic Landmark Commission as noted in Items A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J, K, L, and M and recommend historic zoning to the City Council and that the Planning Commission further request the staff to instigate permanent "A" Zoning for the property on which the house and cemetery are located. Mrs. Schechter seconded the substitute motion. The Commission voted to consider the substitute motion.

AYE:

Danze, Guerrero, Schechter, Shipman, and Stoll.

NAY: ABSENT: Snyder and Vier. Dixon and Jagger.

THE SUBSTITUTE MOTION PASSED BY A VOTE OF 5-2.

C14h-79-003 McGary-Thiele House: "A", 1st H&A to "A-H", 1st H&A (by City of Austin)
4712 Bull Creek Road

Betty Baker explained that this structure was built around 1859, has 18-foot ceilings and rock walls 18 inches thick. It has four fireplaces and the hall or dog run is 40 feet in length and ten feet wide. She explained that this is a vanishing style and is the only structure in northwest Austin with such distinctive architectural and historical significance.

PERSONS APPEARING IN FAVOR

Suzanne Smith Saulniers, Historic Landmark Commission Ina Ray Smith, Historic Landmark Commission Betty Phillips, Historic Landmark Commission Al Taniguchi, concerns

PERSONS APPEARING IN OPPOSITION

James Scott, Trustee of the Highland Village Church of Christ

C14h-79-003 McGary-Thiele House -- continued

COMMISSION ACTION

360

Suzanne Smith Saulniers, a member of the Historic Landmark Commission, spoke in favor of the request and pointed out that it meets a substantial number of the criteria. She felt it would be very representative of historic zoning for that section of Austin. Ina Ray Smith, also a member of the Historic Landmark Commission, discussed previous owners of the structure, and urged the historic zoning be approved. Betty Phillips, another member of the Landmark Commission, explained that Betty Baker and she had visited with the members of the church to discuss at length the pros and cons of historic zoning. She felt the structure to be quite significant and stated it is one of the few remaining examples of that type of farmhouse. She felt that possibly the house could be moved if the church had a need for the site and felt the Landmark Commission would be willing to work with the church if the need should arise. Speaking in opposition, James Scott, a trustee of the Highland Village Church of Christ, distributed a written statement requesting the zoning recommendation be withdrawn and the building remain in its current status. He discussed the building is now being used as Bible study classrooms and fellowship purposes and that the land is planned to be used in the future with the adjoining building. Historic zoning would adversely effect the plans for changes and would jeopardize financing of any such needed changes. They do not want to purchase additional land and he discussed the land values in the immediate area and pointed out that they are on good terms with their neighbors. He stated this would create a hardship for the church and requested that the zoning change be withdrawn. He explained they did not want the historic zoning while they owned the structure and would prefer that the historic zoning be done after the structure is removed from the site. There was discussion of how the structure might be moved from the site and retain the historic designation, as well as how it might be incorporated into plans for the church. Al Taniguchi stated he was not speaking either for or against the requested zoning at this time, but expressed concern for moving historic structures and explained that to move them takes away a certain amount of the significance when placed in a new setting. He wondered if perhaps the needs for the church expansion might be met without removing the building and pointed out there is a lot of land that could be utilized.

COMMISSION VOTE

Mr. Stoll felt the Landmark Commission would favorably work with moving the structure if need be and moved that based on the information presented the structure be zoned historic in accordance with the findings of fact A, C, E, G, H, I, K, L, and M of the criteria. Mrs. Schechter seconded the motion.

AYE: Danze, Guerrero, Schechter, Shipman and Stoll.

NAY: Snyder. ABSTAINED: Vier.

ABSENT: Dixon and Jagger.

C14h-79-009 German-American Ladies' College: "B", 2nd H&A to (by City of Austin)
1604 East 11th Street

COMMISSION VOTE

On a consent motion, Mr. Snyder moved to accept the recommendation of the Landmark Commission in view of the findings of fact A, D, G, H, I, K, L, and M of the Criteria and that the structure be zoned "B-H", 2nd H&A. Mr. Vier seconded the motion.

AYE: Danze, Guerrero, Schechter, Shipman, Snyder, Stoll, and Vier. ABSENT: Dixon and Jagger.

Planning Commission--Austin, Texas

May 1, 1979

SUBDIVISIONS

R105-79 Subdivision Memorandum

Short Form and Final Subdivisions as listed on the Subdivision Memorandum. Action taken

at the meeting.

The Planning Commission considered the items listed on the Subdivision Memorandum and took the action as indicated.

AYE:

Danze, Guerrero, Schechter, Shipman, Snyder, Stoll, and Vier.

ABSENT:

Dixon and Jagger.

The meeting adjourned at 8:40 p.m.

Richard R. Lillie, Executive Secretary

TYP	TYPE: OLD SHORT FORM SUBDIVISIONS				ING	COMMISSION ME		MORANDUM	DATE: May 1,	1979 PAGE: 1
CE 5	SUSDIVISION LOCATION	FILED REVIEW BY	CITY	ETJ	ZON- ING	PROPOSED LAND USE	LOTS/ACREAGE PROPOSED LOTS	STATUS	RECOMMENDATIONS	ACTION
78 334	Schuch Addition Manchaca Rd. @ Wm. Cannon Dr.		Х		GR	General Retail	1.701 acres 3 lots		APPROVAL	
78 77	Radian Office Park Addition Shoal Creek and Steck Ave.		RE	COR	DED		•	Request vacation of Recommend to Grant	Subdivision	
79 52	Radian Office Park No. 2 Shoal Creek & Steck Avenue		x		GR	General Retail	9.82 acres 2 lots		APPROVAL	
7 <u>9</u> 05	Bowden Angerman Subdivision Hwy. 71, S. of Scenic Brook Dr			X		Commercial	1.217 acres 2 lots		APPROVAL	
TYPE:	FINAL LONG FORM SUBDIVISIONS									
C8										
79 0 7	Bee Caves Section Three Tamarron Boulevard		х		GR	Commercial	50.40 acres 2 lots	Consider 28(a)	APPROVAL	
78 97	The Hills of Lost Creek Seven Lost Creek Boulevard			x		Residential	18.24 acres 39 lots		APPROVAL	
									•	•
								•		